



JUDGE'S	INITIALS

BT: 1

**REPUBLICAN PRIMARY
OFFICIAL ELECTION BALLOT
NODAWAY COUNTY, MISSOURI
AUGUST 4, 2026**

<p>INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTER To vote, completely fill in the oval next to your choice, like this ●.</p> <p>CANDIDATES: Complete the oval next to the name of the Candidate for whom you wish to vote.</p> <p>PROPOSITIONS: If you are in FAVOR of the proposition or question, complete the oval next to the word YES. If you are OPPOSED to the proposition or question, complete the oval next to the word NO.</p>	<p>For Presiding Commissioner of the County Commission (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Chris Burns</p> <p>For Clerk of the Circuit Court (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Elaine Wilson</p> <p>For Clerk of the County Commission (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Melinda Patton</p> <p>For Recorder of Deeds (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Lisa James</p> <p>For Prosecuting Attorney (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> David L. Hershberger <input type="radio"/> Tina Meyer Deiter</p> <p>Constitutional Amendment No. 1 <i>Proposed by Article IV, Section 47(c) (Second Regular Session) SJR No. 1</i> Shall Missouri continue for 10 years the one-tenth of one percent sales/use tax that is used for soil and water conservation and for state parks and historic sites, and resubmit this tax to the voters for approval in 10 years? The measure allows continued collection of the existing sales and use tax, which generates revenue of approximately \$140 million annually.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>	<p>Constitutional Amendment No. 2 <i>Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (First Regular Session) HCS HJR Nos. 23 & 3</i> Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> require all charter counties, including Jackson County, to provide for the election of a county assessor; and require assessors in all charter counties to comply with any training requirements established by general law? <p>State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO</p>
<p>For State Auditor (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Scott Fitzpatrick <input type="radio"/> Gerald (Jerry) Wistrand Jr.</p>		
<p>For United States Representative in Congress 6th District (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jim Ingram <input type="radio"/> Chris Stigall <input type="radio"/> Nathan Hall Willett <input type="radio"/> Cody J. Oshel <input type="radio"/> Nathanael Schultz</p>		
<p>For State Senator 12th District (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Rusty Black</p>		
<p>For State Representative 1st District (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jeff Farnan</p>		
<p>For Associate Circuit Judge (Vote for 1)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Robert (Bob) L. Rice</p>		

Vote Both Sides of the Ballot

Constitutional Amendment No. 4
*Proposed by 103rd General Assembly
(Second Extraordinary Session) HCS HJR
No. 3*

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- Modify current requirements that a statewide majority of voters may approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution;
- Require a majority of voters in each congressional district to approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution; and
- Make available to each voter the full text of initiative petitions with their ballot?

The Department of Corrections estimates increased annual costs of up to \$21,817. The Office of State Public Defender estimates an unknown fiscal impact. Other state governmental entities estimate no costs or savings. Local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

- YES
 NO

Constitutional Amendment No. 5
*Proposed by 103rd General Assembly,
(Second Regular Session) SS-SCS HCS
HJR Nos. 173 & 174*

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- Require legislative phase-out of the individual state income tax based on revenue growth, and authorize the expansion of sales and use taxes;
- Curtail constitutional limits on taxing goods and services; and
- Require local tax rate cuts without reducing school funding if local sales tax revenue increases?

The proposal has no direct impact on state or local tax revenue. If passed, implementing legislation will have an unknown impact to state and local tax revenue. If implemented, state government entities expect a reduction of \$57,000 annually in income tax check-off donations and implementation costs of at least \$100,000.

- YES
 NO

